Stabilisation of a paramagnetic $\overline{BH_4}^{-}$ -bridged dinickel(II) complex by a macrodinucleating hexaaza-dithiophenolate ligand \dagger

Yves Journaux,^{*a} Vasile Lozan,^b Julia Klingele^{$\pm b$} and Berthold Kersting^{*b}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 9th September 2005, Accepted 10th November 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd November 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b512744k

The first paramagnetic borohydrido-bridged dinuclear nickel(II) complex, $[(L)Ni^{II}{}_{2}(\mu_{1,3}-BH_{4})]^{+}$, stabilised by a sterically demanding hexaaza-dithiophenolate macrocycle, has been obtained by the reaction of $[(L)Ni^T₂(\mu-ClO₄)]⁺$ with NⁿBu₄BH₄.

The search for dinuclear dithiolato-bridged complexes which model key features of the active site of hydrogenase enzymes is an active research area.1,2 Two main strategies exist to access such compounds. One involves the addition of an electrophilic metal– carbonyl fragment to a nucleophilic metal complex with *cis*-oriented thiolate functions.³ The resulting [NiFeS₂], or $[Fe₂S₂]$, assemblies are co-ligated with CO and CN⁻ and represent good structural analogues of the proposed active site structures, as demonstrated recently by a number of research groups. $4-7$ In the other strategy, macrocyclic dinucleating polyaza-dithiolate ligands are used for the cluster assembly.⁸ Until now this strategy has only allowed for the production of homodinuclear nickel complexes, and it is unclear at present whether these more classical Wernertype coordination compounds will ever be able to bind the biologically relevant co-ligands CO , CN^- and H^- . Herein we provide the first evidence for nickel–hydrogen interactions in such compounds.

Our study was initiated by the recent discovery of Desrochers $et al.⁹$ who demonstrated that the sterically encumbered hydrotris- $(3,5$ -dimethyl-pyrazolyl)borate ligand (Tp^{*-}) can stabilize a hydrogen-rich nickel environment in $[Tp^*Ni^H(\mu_{1,3}-BH_4)]$. In order to test whether similar dinickel(II) complexes with a bridging borohydride co-ligand are supported by the dinucleating hexaazadithiophenolate ligand $(L)^{2-}$ (Scheme 1),¹⁰ an acetonitrile solution of the chloro-bridged complex $[(L)Ni^H₂(\mu-Cl)]ClO₄ (1·ClO₄)$ was treated with N"Bu₄BH₄ under an argon atmosphere at ambient temperature. Unlike $[Tp^*NiⁿCl]₂$ ⁹ however, no reaction occurred. In a second approach, the reaction was carried out using the darkgreen perchlorato-bridged complex $[(L)Ni^H₂(\mu-CIO₄)]ClO₄$ $(2 \cdot CIO_4)$ which was prepared by Cl^- abstraction from $1 \cdot ClO_4$ with $Pb(CIO₄)₂$.[†] This gave a pale-green solution of the desired borohydrido-bridged complex 3, which was isolated as its $B Ph_4^$ salt in *ca.* 75% yield. In the absence of air and protic reagents this

E-mail: jour@ccr.jussieu.fr; Tel: ⁺33 14427 5562 ^b

Scheme 1 Complexes 1–5.

compound is stable for weeks, both in the solid state and in solution. This stability is quite remarkable given that nickel(II) complexes of sterically less demanding ligands are readily reduced to nickel boride.¹¹

IR measurements of solid 3 ·BPh₄ showed intense absorption bands at 2390, 2360, 2153 and 2071 cm^{-1} indicative of terminal B–H and bridging B–H \cdots Ni functions.¹² The UV-Vis spectrum recorded in acetonitrile suggested the presence of octahedral Ni(II) ions $[\lambda = 650 \, (v_2)$ and 1074 nm (v_1) ¹³. Final confirmation came from an X-ray crystal structure determination of 3 ·BPh₄·2MeCN (Fig. 1).§ As can be seen, the BH_4^- ion bridges the two Ni(II)

Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of the structure of complex 3 at 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms, except those of the BH₄⁻ coligand, have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (A) and angles (u): Ni1–N1 2.219(4), Ni1–N2 2.152(4), Ni1–N3 2.284(4), Ni1–S1 2.437(2), Ni1–S2 2.459(1), Ni1–H1 1.93(4), Ni2–N4 2.271(4), Ni2–N5 2.135(4), Ni2–N6 2.260(4), Ni2–S1 2.439(2), Ni2–S2 2.457(2), Ni2–H2 1.84(4), B1– H1 1.25(4), B1–H2 1.24(4), B1–H3 1.12(4), B1–H4 1.12(4); Ni1…Ni2 3.458; Ni1–S1–Ni2 90.33(5), Ni2–S2–Ni1 89.41(5).

^a Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique et Matériaux Moléculaires Bat F UMR CNRS 7071, case courrier 42, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 place Jussieu, F75252, Paris cedex 05, France.

 b Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Johannisallee 29, Universität</sup>

Leipzig, D-04013 Leipzig, Germany. E-mail: b.kersting@uni-leipzig.de; Fax: +49-341-9736199; Tel: +49-341-9736143

[{] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Preparation and characterisation data for complexes 2–5. See DOI: 10.1039/b512744k { Present address: Institut fu¨r Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität Freiburg, Albertstrasse 21, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany.

Fig. 2 Plot of $\chi_{\rm m}T$ against T for 3. BPh₄. The solid line represents the best theoretical fit of the magnetic susceptibility data by full-matrix diagonalization of the spin Hamiltonian $H = -2J S_1 \cdot S_2 + D(S_{z1}^2 + S_{z2}^2 4/3$) + $g\beta(S_1 + S_2)B$. Experimental and calculated data are provided as ESI.[†]

centres in a symmetrical fashion to generate a bioctahedral $N_3Ni^{II}(\mu-S)₂(\mu-BH₄)Ni^{II}N₃$ core structure that has never been observed before in nickel–thiolate chemistry. There are no interactions between the MeCN of solvent of crystallization and the $[(L)Ni^T2(\mu-BH₄)]⁺$ cations. The average Ni–H distance at 1.89(4) Å compares well with that in the mononuclear NiS_4H_2 complex $[Ni^{II}(bmp)_{2}]$ ($bmp = bis(2-mercapto-1-methylimidazolyl)$ borate),¹⁴ the only other sulfur-rich Ni(II) complex with B–H…Ni interactions that has been structurally characterised.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements have been carried out to see whether magnetic exchange interactions are present in 3 ·BPh₄. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the product $\chi_{\rm m}T$ (per dinuclear complex) gradually increases from 2.69 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 295 K to a maximum of 3.29 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 28 K, and then decreases rapidly to 2.74 μ_B at 2 K. This behaviour indicates an intramolecular ferromagnetic exchange interaction between the two $Ni²⁺ ions in 3.¹⁵$

Least-squares fit of the magnetic susceptibility data by fullmatrix diagonalization of the appropriate spin Hamiltonian $H =$ $-2J S_1 S_2 + D(S_{21}^2 + S_{22}^2 - 4/3) + g\beta(S_1 + S_2)B$ gave $J = 27$ cm⁻¹, $D = +4.3$ cm⁻¹, and $g = 2.09$ (or alternatively $J = 27.5$ cm⁻¹, $D = -3.9$ cm⁻¹, and $g = 2.09$). Interestingly, the J value of 27 cm⁻¹ is by far the largest value that has ever been observed in dinuclear nickel(II) complexes supported by $(L)^{2-16}$ It indicates that the ferromagnetic magnetic exchange interactions are not only propagated via the bridging thiolate functions but also through the μ_1 ₃-bridging borohydride ion. To the best of our knowledge, this property of the BH₄⁻ ion has not been documented previously in the literature. This suggests its use as a building block in the construction of molecular based magnetic materials.

Preliminary results show that 3 reacts with protic reagents HA, such as HCl, H_2O , or HCO₂H with liberation of H_2 and formation of the respective $[(L)Ni^H₂(A)]⁺$ species $(A = Cl⁻ 1, OH⁻ 4$ and HCO_2 ⁻ 5). Complexes 1 and 4 have been reported earlier. The new complex 5 is also readily produced by the reaction of 3 with CO₂. IR measurements of 5 ·BPh₄ showed two absorption bands at 1602 and 1424 cm^{-1} , attributable to the symmetric and antisymmetric

stretching frequencies of a $\mu_{1,3}$ -bridging formate ion. This was also confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure determination of 5 ·BPh₄ (see $ESI\dagger$).

In conclusion, we have prepared the first stable dinuclear nickel(II) borohydrido-bridged complex of a macrodinucleating hexaaza-dithiophenolate ligand. Work in progress is directed towards the synthesis of related compounds with bridging hydride ligands by taking advantage of the steric protection offered by the supporting ligand. Such compounds may also aid in understanding the electronic structures and the reactivities of the dinuclear active sites of the hydrogenase enzymes.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project KE 585/4-1,2).

Notes and references

§ Crystal data for $[(L)Niⁿ2(\mu_{I,3}-BH_4)](BPh_4)$ ·2MeCN (3·BPh₄·2MeCN): $C_{66}H_{94}B_2N_8Ni_2S_2$, $M = 1202.65$. Triclinic, space group PI, (no. 2), $a =$ 15.992(3), $b = 16.080(3)$, $c = 16.515(3)$ Å, $\alpha = 63.82(3)$, $\beta = 70.97(3)$, $\gamma = 66.47(3)$ °, $V = 3436(1)$ Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.162$ g cm⁻³ , $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha)$ = 0.651 mm⁻¹, $T = 210 \text{ K}$. Using Mo-K α radiation (0.71073 Å), a total of 31051 reflections were collected of which 16023 were independent. Refinement converged to $R_1 = 0.0749$, $wR_2 = 0.2053$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. Hydrogen atoms for the η^2 -BH₄ ligand were located from the final difference map and were refined isotropically with $U_{eq}(H)$ 1.2 times that of the boron to which they are attached. The MeCN solvate molecules were found to be disordered over two positions. CCDC 280004. Crystal data for $[(L)Ni^H2(\mu_{1,3}-O_2CH)](BPh_4)$ (5·BPh₄): C₆₃H₈₅BN₆N₁₂O₂S₂, M = 1150.72. Monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a = 18.452(4)$, $b = 34.531(7)$, $c = 18.452(4)$ Å, $\beta = 92.22(3)$ °, $V = 11748(4)$ Å³, $Z = 8$, $D_c = 1.301$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.761 mm⁻¹, $T = 210$ K. Using Mo-K α radi a total of 74914 reflections were collected of which 28479 were independent. Refinement converged to $R_1 = 0.0778$, $wR_2 = 0.1651$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. CCDC 280005. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b512744k

- 1 D. J. Evans and C. J. Pickett, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2003, 32, 268.
- 2 (a) A. C. Marr, D. J. E. Spencer and M. Schröder, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 219–221, 1055; (b) S. Brooker, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 222, 33–56.
- 3 C. A. Grapperhaus and M. Y. Darensbourg, Acc. Chem. Res., 1998, 31, 451.
- 4 C.-H. Lai, J. H. Reibenspies and M. Y. Darensbourg, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 2390.
- 5 S. C. Davies, D. J. Evans, D. L. Hughes, S. Longhurst and J. R. Sanders, Chem. Commun., 1999, 1935.
- 6 D. Sellmann, F. Geipel, F. Lauderbach and F. W. Heinemann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 632.
- 7 F. Gloaguen, J. D. Lawrence and T. B. Rauchfuss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 726.
- 8 A. J. Amoroso, S. S. M. Chung, D. J. E. Spencer, J. P. Danks, M. W. Glenny, A. J. Blake, P. A. Cooke, C. Wilson and M. Schröder, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2020.
- 9 P. J. Desrochers, S. LeLievre, R. J. Johnson, B. T. Lamb, A. L. Phelps, A. W. Cordes, W. Gu and S. P. Cramer, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 7945.
- 10 G. Steinfeld and B. Kersting, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1376.
- 11 N. F. Curtis, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 924.
- 12 T. J. Marks and J. R. Kolb, Chem. Rev., 1977, 77, 263.
- 13 B. Kersting, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 3988.
- 14 H. M. Alvarez, M. Krawiec, B. T. Donovan-Merkert, M. Fouzi and D. Rabinovich, Inorg. Chem., 2001, 40, 5736.
- 15 In the solid state the dinuclear nickel complexes are well separated by the bulky tetraphenylborate anions. The abrupt decrease in $\chi_{\rm m} T$ below 28 K is therefore most likely due to zero field splitting of Ni^H and not to intermolecular exchange interactions.
- 16 J. Hausmann, M. H. Klingele, V. Lozan, G. Steinfeld, D. Siebert, Y. Journaux, J. J. Girerd and B. Kersting, Chem. Eur. J., 2004, 10, 1716.